

SEAUPG 2004 Conference - Baton Rouge

asphalt institute

Life Cycle Cost Analysis

Gary L. Fitts, P.E.
Sr. Field Engineer
Asphalt Institute
San Antonio, Texas

www.asphaltinstitute.org

asphalt institute

What are we talking about?

“LCCA is an analysis technique that builds on the well-founded principles of economic analysis to evaluate the over-all-long-term economic efficiency between competing alternative investment options.”

“FHWA Policy on LCCA is that it is a decision support tool, and the results of LCCA are not decisions in and of themselves.”

from:
Life-Cycle Cost Analysis, Pavement Division Interim Technical Bulletin, September 1998, FHWA

www.asphaltinstitute.org

asphalt institute

Net Present Value

$$NPV = I.C. + \sum_{k=1}^N R.C._k \left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n_k}} \right]$$

Initial Cost Number of Recurring Costs Recurring Costs Discount Rate (3-5%) Number of Years

www.asphaltinstitute.org

asphalt institute

Net Present Value

These costs may also include user costs

www.asphaltinstitute.org

asphalt institute

Key terms:

- “Analysis technique”
- “Decision support tool”
- “The results of LCCA are not decisions in and of themselves”

www.asphaltinstitute.org

asphalt institute

Other Pavement Selection Factors

- Traffic
- Soil characteristics
- Weather
- Construction considerations
- Recyclability
- Noise
- Roughness
- Safety

www.asphaltinstitute.org

SEAUPG 2004 Conference - Baton Rouge

Treatment Selection Factors – Pavement Rehabilitation

asphalt institute

- Available Funds
- Staged Construction
- Traffic Control
- Lane Closure
- Minimum Desired Life
- Future Maintenance
- Geometric Issues

From NHI 131063 materials,
"HMA Pavement Evaluation and Rehabilitation"

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Treatment Selection Factors - Pavement Rehabilitation (continued)

asphalt institute

- Present and Future Utilities
- Right-of-Way Restrictions
- Regulatory Restrictions
- Available Materials and Equipment
- Contractor Expertise and Manpower
- Agency Policies

From NHI 131063 course materials,
"HMA Pavement Evaluation and Rehabilitation"

www.asphaltinstitute.org

LCCA Issues

asphalt institute

- Performance periods
 - Time to first overlay
 - Time between overlays
- Analysis period
- Comparable performance
- User costs
- Obviously, cost estimates should be appropriate and include all factors related to differences in pavement construction costs

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Performance Periods

asphalt institute

- Considerations:
 - Performance of similar existing pavements
 - Anticipated improvements from advances in binder and mixture technology

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Performance Data

asphalt institute

- Pavement management data are often used
- Factors to consider:
 - Ability to segregate pavements that were actually designed for traffic and subgrade conditions from those designed by limited funding
 - Are all "flexible" pavements the same?
 - Accounting for technological improvements and resulting performance enhancement

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Are these the same pavement type?

asphalt institute

~ 3 in

Aggregate Base (basalt)

Thin-surfaced, "flexible" pavement Thick HMA "semi-rigid" pavement

These pavements respond to loads differently and should not be expected to perform the same.

www.asphaltinstitute.org

SEAUPG 2004 Conference - Baton Rouge

Overlay Design Equation?

asphalt institute

$$D_{OL} = (\$available \div \$ / sy / in) \div sy$$

- You won't find this in AASHTO, but it is certainly being used!
- Would we expect the performance of a constrained design to be the same as that of a pavement actually designed for the conditions?

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Performance Periods

asphalt institute

State/Province	Time to 1 st Overlay	Time between 1 st and 2 nd Overlay
Minnesota	15.5	15
Washington	12.5	11.5
Kansas	10*	17
Ontario	19**	12
Ohio	17	13
Florida	14	14
Wisconsin	18	Project specific

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Performance Periods

asphalt institute

State/Province	Time to 1 st Overlay	Time between 1 st and 2 nd Overlay
Minnesota	15.5	15
Washington	12.5	11.5
Kansas	10*	17
Ontario	19**	12
Ohio	* Planned stage construction ** 23 years for SMA	
Florida		
Wisconsin	18	Project specific

www.asphaltinstitute.org

SHRP-LTPP Data

asphalt institute

- "The average expected service life of HMA pavements is **greater than 20 years** for both conventional and deep strength flexible pavements."
 - From *Expected Service Life and Performance Characteristics of HMA Pavements in LTPP*, draft final report, Von Quintus, et al.
- "Clearly, the majority of the AC overlays included in the LTPP database have served for **15 years or more** before the load and non-load-related distresses became sufficient to require rehabilitation. More importantly, there are a number of test sections where the overlays have less than only nominal levels of distress for more than 20 years of service."
 - From: *Publication No. FHWA-RD-00-165*

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Improved Technologies: Polymers, and SMA

asphalt institute

- Both may provide significantly extended (>25%) performance compared to conventional materials
 - Remember that most performance relationships developed from historic data were based on conventional materials
- Unless properly considered, pavements using either technology are likely to be oversized, i.e., have higher initial costs than necessary

www.asphaltinstitute.org

Advantages of SMA

asphalt institute

Property	Significant Improvement	Improved	Equal
Rutting Resistance	x		
Durability	x		
Fatigue Endurance	x		
Cracking Resistance	x		
Skid Resistance		x	
Water Spray			x
Noise Reduction		x	
Public Recognition	x		

www.asphaltinstitute.org

SEAUPG 2004 Conference - Baton Rouge

Analysis Period

Time period over which an economic comparison is made

"The analysis period for LCCA generally should extend through the time when reconstruction of the facility would be required."

FHWA Final Policy Statement, Life Cycle Cost Analysis
FHWA Docket No. 94-15

Performance Scenarios- Are these comparable?

Comparable Performance

- Unless functional characteristics are similar, differences in vehicle operating costs, etc., must be accounted for in LCCA
 - Very difficult to do
- What are the effects of different levels of quality control during construction
 - PWL specifications for some paving materials, but not for others
 - Ride quality requirements
- What is the effect of retexturing (shotblasting, diamond grinding, etc.) on surface friction where carbonate coarse aggregates are used?

What if there's no real difference in LCCA projections for alternate designs?

- Don't forget that a forward projection of LCCA is a best guess and not a precise calculation!!
- If LCCA is a tie, shouldn't the "other factors" take priority, particularly initial cost?
- Need to develop a defensible, consistent procedure that takes these other factors into account
 - How is a "real" difference defined?
 - How are other factors weighted?

LCCA

- Definitely should be considered in pavement type selection, but it is not the only factor
- Must be "fair and balanced," with the emphasis being on taxpayers and highway users

Tonight's event

WEDNESDAY NIGHT ON THE BAYOU

BAYVIEW INN
French Settlement, Louisiana

APA ASPHALT PAVEMENT ALLIANCE

Fish Fry
Jambalaya
Gumbo
Beer & Wine
Cajun Band
Cajun Dance Lessons

ERGON

SEAUPG Southeastern Asphalt Pavement Group

SEAUPG 2004 Conference - Baton Rouge

Night on the Bayou

asphalt institute

Bus departs Holiday Inn-Select at 5:30 p.m.
Bus departs Bayview Inn at 9:30 p.m.

Sponsored by:

Asphalt Pavement Alliance
Louisiana Asphalt Pavement Association
Ergon, Asphalt and Emulsions
SEAUPG
Louisiana Transportation Research Center

It's **MUCH** easier to take the bus

www.asphaltinstitute.org

